

SATOLLI INSULTED AGAIN.

ANOTHER ATTACK ON HIM.

AUTHORITY IN THE PRIESTHOOD.

HE KEENLY ANALYZES AND VIGOROUSLY CHARACTERIZES THE ATTEMPTS TO EMBARRASS AND DISCREDIT THE MISSION OF THE APOSTOLIC DELEGATE IN THIS

TEST SUGGESTED.

The following was taken from the article published in the morning newspaper bearing on the coming visit of Monsignor Satolfi to Archbishop Corrigan. The general opinion prevailing at publication, both among Catholics and Protestants, was that the appointment of Monsignor Satolfi as delegate to the American Bishops' Delegate and his mission in a false position before the country. The persons seen said that this was quite time that some protest be made against this guerrilla mode of warfare carried on in the archdiocese of New-York from week to week by the Holy Father and his representative who would not permit their names to be used. When the reporter called at St. Leo's rectory he found that Monsignor Ducey was absent from the city. In his second attempt to see Monsignor Ducey he was successful, however. The reporter asked him if he had been in Washington.

He answered by saying: "I was in Philadelphia last night as guest at the Strathford, Ken-

by Mr. Boltz, the host of the New-York Waldorf. Attention is called to the fact that he said nothing in answer to the question about being in Washington.

He asked: "What does the Tribune want of me?"

The article referred to was shown to him, and he was asked if he had read it, and if he would talk for publication, permitting his name to be used.

"When I talk to the press," he answered with some feeling, "I never talk in this assassin-like style." A well-informed ecclesiastic, "a well-known authority in the Catholic priesthood," defines the Delegate's authority, etc. This kind of shooting, said Monsignor Ducey, "is the meanest sort of personal murder. If the Tribune will adopt the general mode of refusing to publish any interview unless the assassin assumes the responsibility, the responsibility

The interviewed person assumes the response, "I am not a priest, so, your journal will find that those cowardly and assassins will be robbed of their altolios and their shotguns. They have not their decency to speak openly and in the broad sunlight. I will talk to The Tribune."

MANIFEST PURPOSE OF RECENT ARTICLES.
He said:

The statement in a morning paper preceeding an interview with a "well-known authority in the Catholic priesthood," who defines the Delegate's statement, is clearly the statement of the same ecclesiastic and not that of the reporter. It is a simple preface for the spleen of the author and the misrepresentation of the Delegate which follows in the interview. For nearly a century a series of such statements have appeared in some of the newspapers. The one more bitter than the others is the questioning the Holy Father's judgment and brutally misrepresenting Monsignor Satolli in the interview and signed statements have had on manifest purpose—to prejudice the simple and trusting public against the Holy Father's representative.

Everybody knows that delegated authority can only be regarded by a delegate. He has only power to exercise certain powers delegated, and

by the supreme authority, and consequently cannot give away rights that he does not possess. In the interview states the manner in which a delegate should act: "that he is bound by law to respect the jurisdiction of his inferior, and when overruling his decision to respect his jurisdiction." Courtesy and politeness require this also. A simple and honest intelligence reading between the lines can see the implication and insinuation were made against Monsignor Satolli. I say the

know that it is an absolute falsehood to insinuate that I suggest that he has in any way interfered with the rights and prerogatives of any bishop or archbishop, or forgotten to respect in the slightest manner the jurisdiction or ruling of any ecclesiastical court. Extreme courtesy and the most faithful consideration have directed him in every action toward bishops and archbishops.

the man who formulated this statement is either malicious or foolish. There is nothing in the act of Monsignor Satolli that can justify such a photograph. And those who have met the man will be enough for the fact that a more direct and gentlemanly is difficult to meet. He has nothing of the diplomatic Machiavelli about him. He has an honest voice, a direct eye, a simple manner, and a frankness that makes him man incapable of being used or of being made use of, and of who is stranger to dirty tricks or methods. My first interview with Monsignor Satolli was nearly eight months after he came to the country, at the end of the month of May. Since then I have met him twice, and the conviction deepens that he is a man of insight and manly will and will do more for his native country and America than he can accomplish through his own assignments. I may be permitted to add that I say this from a conviction, and not that I am seeking or expect any favors at the hands of the

apostolic Delegate. It is unfortunate in the present situation of affairs that you cannot recognize the true methods of a true man without incurring the attacks of the mud-slingers. You must worship at their shrine if you expect to escape odium. A proof of these words is found in another

paragraph of the interview. It asks if he is in touch with his mission, and asserts that he misrepresents rather than represents the Holy Father, and gives as a proof that he has had constant association with a "leftist or socialist faction in the church."

with a small and recalcitrant group, and for the purpose of misleading the simple masses. Fault is found with him because he consulted men of recognized learning who had not found favor in the eyes of certain gentlemen in whose eyes none have ever found favor unless they sacrificed their own honor, self-respect and judgment, to think along their narrow grooves.

NOT COMING HERE TO SHOW HIS PAPERS

The low insinuation made that the Apostolic delegate has been invited to come to New-York to show his papers is certainly news, and is none the less a source of much pain to the ecclesiastical authorities of this archdiocese, who certainly have enough good breeding to reject and condemn so gross a statement. It may be well to have them made public know, through The Tribune, that there is nothing extraordinary in the establishment of the Apostolic Delegation, now a permanent fixture, in the United States. The long article published in

more than a week ago, questioning the Right Father's judgment, and almost ignoring his Right make the establishment was clearly inspired from some hostile source. Readers of the Tribune can remember that in that article there was no mention of the Vatican or the Holy Father. It was suddenly discovered that the Propaganda was a great source of infallibility for the Church in the United States. To my certain knowledge, public men, not Catholics, were most anxious for the establishment of an Apostolic Delegate in this country, as far back as